



Business Impact Analysis RESCINDED PACKAGES

Agency Name: Ohio State Racing Commission

Regulation/Package Title: Quarter Horse Development Fund

Rule Number(s): 3769-9-09

Date: 8/29/2018

Rule Type:

✓ Rescinded

This form is intended for rule packages in which every rule in the package is being rescinded. *New, Amended, No-Change, and Rescind/New rules must use the standard BIA.*

The Common Sense Initiative was established by Executive Order 2011-01K and placed within the Office of the Lieutenant Governor. Under the CSI Initiative, agencies should balance the critical objectives of all regulations with the costs of compliance by the regulated parties. Agencies should promote transparency, consistency, predictability, and flexibility in regulatory activities. Agencies should prioritize compliance over punishment, and to that end, should utilize plain language in the development of regulations.

Regulatory Intent

1. Please describe in plain language the regulation that is being rescinded.

The rule determined eligibility for an owner and horse to obtain funds from the Quarter Horse Development Fund

2. Why is the regulation being rescinded?

Please be specific (ORC change, request of stakeholders, etc.)

The statute which established the quarter horse development fund was repealed by the 131 General Assembly House Bill 64 which became effective on January 1, 2016. Since the quarter horse development fund is eliminated, there is no need for this rule

3. Please describe in general terms the adverse impacts to business, including currently impacted industries, in the existing rule(s).

There is no adverse impact to business in this rule

4. Are there other regulations (either existing or to be created) which will replace the regulation being rescinded or which will now apply because this regulation is being rescinded? This can include rules, statute, federal regulations, agency policies, or industry standards etc.

Since the quarter horse development fund has been eliminated there will not be any other regulations for this rule

5. Does the rescission of this regulation eliminate flexibility or create more adverse impacts for stakeholders? If yes, please describe stakeholder outreach and justify the impacts.

The rescission of the rule does not eliminate flexibility or create more adverse impact for stakeholders